



Annexure 3 Third Party Quality Monitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY

Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore 102, Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore
Date of Visit	14-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

A. PARTICULARS OF PROJECT

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	Bahraich				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 510 DUs under PMAY 26th CSMC Dt. 27/09/2017				
4	Project Code:	09007497014N0				
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Bahraich				
6	Location of the Project	Wards No.01 to 31 of ULB				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New Construction)				
8	No. of Houses in the project	510				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	25/09/2017				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
		765.0	510.0	0.0	663.0	1938.0
11	Date of sanction of 1 st installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	27-09-2017				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled			Actual	
		27-09-2017			12-10-2017	
14	Date of Completion	Scheduled			Expected	
		27-09-2018			12-10-2018	
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name) (with contact detail) :	Rajeev Kumar			9111008975	
		Abhinav Pandey			9425300912	
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Prateek Shrivastaya			9451033337	
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed with Photos				
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized ?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory




B. QUALITY ASSURANCE IN THE PROJECT

1	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	YES
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	NO
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	No, It has been directed to establish the material testing lab.
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	Structural Designs are not approved / Proof checked by competent Authority.
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	NA
	(iii) Whether the statutory certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision is endorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multi-storied construction.	Not Required
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer-in-charge?	Not available
6	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No (materials being purchased by beneficiary.)
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
8	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
9	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/ drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done

10	Whether centering /shuttering is checked for staging & propping, line & level, dimensions, cleaning etc. and its quality approved before each stage and record maintained.	No record have been maintained.
11	Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/ compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Manual mixing with hand compaction
12	Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	Some Beneficiaries maintained
13	Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix has being used
14	What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/ manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries through manual mixing.
15	Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA
16	Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?	No
17	Whether potable water is used for construction ?	YES
18	Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA
19	Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	YES
20	Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	YES
21	Whether i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	Adequate Adequate Partially Provided.
22	Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of ?	YES, ULB staff has been checked. Found good

Innovative Qadd Center



 Authorised Signatory



23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on	
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Jointing and RCC bands are partially provided
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonry work is good.
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.	Adequate
(v)	Plastering	Satisfactory
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory
(vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found
(viii)	Cracks, if any	NA
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	Honeycomb are observed that in some place
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply, Sanitation etc.)	Yes
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath, WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found
28	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?	
29	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction maintained satisfactory.
30	Any other comments	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves . The construction
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	More supervisory & technical staff is required to maintain good quality of work.



C. PROGRESS - HOUSING COMPONENTS			
1	Numbers of houses sanctioned in the Project	510	
2	Numbers of houses Completed	120	
3	Numbers of houses in progress	390	
4	Stages of Progress:		
i)	Foundation level (Nos.)	69	
ii)	Plinth level (Nos.)	5	
iii)	Lintel level (Nos.)	3	
iv)	Roof level (Nos.)	233	
v)	Finishing level (Nos.)	80	
5	Numbers of houses not started	0	
6	Reason for non-starter of houses, if any	Surrendered	
7	Numbers of houses occupied by the Beneficiaries	0	
8	Reasons for Non-occupation of houses (If any)- specific reasons to be given	Under construction	
9	Size of Unit (Carpet Area)	Sanctioned	As per Implementation
		Proposed avg. 30 sq.m	Most of the houses are 30 sqm
10	Overall physical progress of Housing (in %)	Approx (48%)	
11	Whether the progress of houses has been ensured through geo-tagged photographs at various construction level?	Yes	
12	Whether DBT of fund to individual beneficiary is ensured in the project?	Yes	
13	Whether the building plan(s) conform to NBC norms?	Yes	
14	Whether authenticated building plans and revisions if any, are available?	Yes	
15	Deviation, if any	No	
16	Any other comment	No	
D. CIVIC INFRASTRUCTURE			
1	Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has been ensured?	Yes	



2	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city level infrastructure?	NA
3	Any other comments	No
E. SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE		
1	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes
2	Any other comments	No
F. FINANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (Rs. in Lakhs)		
1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	1938.00
2	Amount of funds received up to date	510.00
3	Up-to date Expenditure	510.00
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	510.00
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	510.00
G. COST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS		
Cost variation:		
1	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BLC works
Time Overruns:		
1	Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BLC works
H. REMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS AND QUALITY		
Undertaken by Implementation agency to improve the:		
1	Physical Progress	48%
2	Financial Progress	Timely payment of installments must be assured to beneficiaries.
3	Quality management	Supervision from the side of PMC need to be improved for quality.
4	Comments and suggestions of TPQMA on above	Construction carried out by beneficiaries are good. Maintain a workshop for beneficiaries ward wise to give knowledge about construction.
I. COURT CASES AND LITIGATIONS		
1	(To be specified)	Nil



J. OTHERS		
1	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been used	NO
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	Work in progress
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
5	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable) 1. Critical observations 2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	Progress of the project is satisfactory Nil
6	Action taken report on previous report: i. Observations of TPQMA ii Action suggested by TPQMA iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency) iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	This was first visit
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is satisfactory and beneficiaries are active. the construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC. To improve the quality of work regular visit of ULB officials is recommended. .



For
Innovative Cadd Center
Innovative CADD Centre Indore,

Authorised Signatory

Name of Community representative present during visit

ULB NAME:- Bahraich


S.No	Benf. Name	Father/Husband Name
1	SHIVNANDA DEVI	DAYASHANKAR SINGH
2	DROPTTI	MAHENDRA
3	MAYA DEVI	MOHAN SONKAR
4	SUNEETA DEVI	RAMNATH
5	BAL KRISHNA MISHRA	KAMLA PRASAD MISHRA
6	USHA	SUNIL
7	JAYRAM	JAWAHIR RAM
8	MUMTAJ	HASIM
9	REENA	JITENDRA
10	NILAM SINGH	DEEPAK SINGH
11	RUKHASANA	ABBAL
12	BEILA	KHATUR
13	LALTA RAM	RAJDEV
14	TUFANI	RAMAI RAM
15	ASHIMA	ASLAM
16	DILIP KUMAR	SANTRAM
17	Ramvriksh	lalchand
18	MOTILAL	MEVALAL
19	CHANDRABHAN	DHARMRAJ
20	JANARDAN	RAMBUJH
21	KALAVATI	HARISHCHANDRA
22	SURENDRA	SHRICHAND
23	RAM NARAYAN	TILAKDHARI
24	KAUSHILYA	RAM PYARE
25	KALICHARAN	SAHDEV
26	ZALALUDDIN	MO ISLAM
27	SAROJ DEVI	MANNALAL
28	SEETA RAM	DHUNNO
29	SHRIMALI	ROHIT
30	TARA DEVI	MAHENDRA SONKAR
31	BRIJRAJ	BANARSI
32	chhedhi	pachu
33	MUSAFIR	DASHRATH

Innovative Cadd Center


[Signature]
Authorised Signatory

34	PHOOLA DEVI	LAXMI KANT
35	PRAMOD KUMAR	TILAKDHARI
36	SAVITRI	AMAR JEET
37	LALDHARI	SURATI
38	HARIRAM	TILAK DHARI
39	SONMATI	UPENDRA
40	JITENDRA KUMAR	MANGRU RAM
41	SRAVAN KUMAR	MEWA LAL
42	heerawati devi	indresh
43	RAM PRAKASH	BARKHOO
44	sushila	amerika sonkar
45	BAHADUR	NEPAI
46	RAJBALI	SUKHDEV
47	DHANRAJI	KEDAR

Innovative Cadd Center


Authorised Signatory

Bahraich



Innovative Cadd Center

[Signature]
Authorized Signatory

Bahraich



Bahraich

